UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,918	04/07/2006	Peter Samuelsen	P71164US0	5547
	7590 02/27/200 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH SUITE 600	STREET N.W.	LENIHAN, JEFFREY S		
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/574,918	SAMUELSEN, PETER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey Lenihan	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Second This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-35 and 39, drawn to a pressure-sensitive adhesive comprising a rubbery elastomeric matrix and one or more amphiphilic block copolymers.

Group II, claim(s) 36, drawn to a wound dressing.

Group III, claim(s) 37-38, drawn to a medical device adapted for being attached to the skin of a human.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The invention as claimed in independent claim 1 does not define a special technical feature distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art. The pressure sensitive adhesive comprising a rubbery elastomeric matrix and one or more amphiphilic block copolymers as claimed in claim 1 is fully anticipated by, for example, the disclosure of US Patent No. 5705551 to Sasaki et al, which discloses pressure sensitive adhesives (Column 1, lines 13-16) comprising 1) a matrix prepared by blending two or more elastomer rubbers (Column 3, line 18 to Column 4, line 26) and 2) amphiphilic block copolymers

comprising one or more hydrophilic blocks and one or more hydrophobic blocks (Column 2, lines 19-45).

- 3. Accordingly, as the recited composition does not make a contribution over the prior art, unity of invention is lacking and restriction is appropriate.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention of Group I. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species a: Rubbery elastomeric matrix. Applicant is required to elect a species of elastomer for the rubbery elastomeric matrix from the various polymer species recited in claims 7-12.

Species b: Amphiphilic block copolymer structure. Applicant is required to elect a species of the amphiphilic block copolymer defining whether the hydrophobic block(s) and hydrophilic block(s) are prepared from i) identical or essentially identical monomer units or ii) different monomer units, as recited in claims 14-17.

Species c: Amphiphilic block copolymer monomers. Applicant is required to elect an ultimate species of amphiphilic block copolymer from the various claimed monomers used to prepare the hydrophobic and hydrophilic blocks in claims 19-26, 29 and 32.

Species d: Hydrophilic homopolymer. Applicant is required to elect a species of hydrophilic homopolymer which is an additional component of the adhesive composition from the group of polyethylene oxide and hydrophilic polyacrylate, as recited in claims 28 and 29, respectively.

Species e: Adhesive properties. Applicant is required to elect a species of pressure sensitive adhesive wherein 1) the composition has intrinsic adhesive properties or 2) the composition comprises a tackifier and/or a plasticizer which provides adhesive properties, as recited in claims 5 and 6, respectively.

If the invention of Group I is elected for prosecution, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant is further required to ensure that the elected species do not conflict with one another. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

See above.

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 1 is generic to the recited species.

6. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The invention as claimed in independent claim 1 does not define a special technical feature distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art. (see paragraph 2 above).

7. Because this requirement is complex, a response was not solicited by telephone.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Lenihan whose telephone number is (571)270-5452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30-5:00 PM, and on alternate Fridays from 7:30-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,918 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ Irina S. Zemel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Jeffrey Lenihan Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/JL/